

Panel Recommendation Monitoring

<p style="text-align: center;">Panel Recommendations</p>	<p>Progress Update Blue – Panel response Black – PCC response</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Committee Assessment of Progress</p>
<p>Unauthorised encampments That the PCC provides reassurance that the application of the unauthorised encampment policy and the interpretation of legislation are being consistently applied by liaising with Local Area Commanders across the Force and Local Authorities across the Thames Valley.</p> <p>Actions include :-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Chief Superintendent commented that he was very happy to work with Authorities across the Thames Valley to work towards a more consistent approach and policy. • Chief Superintendent reported that the Force had recently undertaken a significant piece of work on signposting homeless people to appropriate help such as the local housing authority. He would send a copy of this policy to the Scrutiny Officer to circulate to the Panel. • The PCC referred to the recent suggestion from the Chief Superintendent, that he work with relevant Local Authority contacts across the Thames Valley to ensure that policies for unauthorised encampments were consistent, where possible. 	<p>A meeting was arranged but had to be cancelled at short notice and has not yet been rearranged.</p> <p>Response from the PCC I have raised this issue with the Chief Constable who has undertaken to arrange for all Local Police Area Commanders to receive and implement consistent policy and procedures.</p>	

<p>This could cover, for example, that any decision making in relation to unauthorised encampments should be undertaken at Local Area Commander level.</p>		
<p>Collaboration To liaise with the Hampshire Police and Crime Panel Chairman to look at scrutinising the decisions and actions of the two respective PCCs in respect of collaboration between both Force areas and to identify areas of mutual interest that could benefit from cross panel working.</p> <p>Action In terms of how outcomes were assessed the Chief Constable reported that the performance framework was a 'Restricted' document and could not therefore be circulated, as it included information on recent cases including significant seizures of cash and drugs and cyber crime investigations. He would produce information for the Panel which can be shown in the public domain on what outcomes had been achieved.</p>	<p>Following a Panel officer network meeting with Hampshire, Surrey, Sussex and Kent it was suggested it would be helpful to draft 5 five key questions to put to each PCC at approximately the same time. These five key questions have been put to our PCC for 8 September meeting and the response is attached</p> <p>A collaboration meeting has also been set up with PCP Chairman from Thames Valley, Hampshire, West Sussex, Surrey and Kent on 20 October 2017.</p>	
<p>Roads Policing That a Working Group be set up to look at roads policing and that requests for information on this area be sent to the Deputy Chief Constable. Areas for consideration could include:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More transparent documentation on their strategy on roads policing • Consideration of a business case for average speed cameras 	<p>A request for information has been made. No response has been received on the detailed questions but the TVP response has been to arrange a road safety summit for officers on 19 September 2017 to address some of these issues.</p>	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved dialogue between police and local authorities on the siting and decommissioning of speed cameras and the need for a Deployment Strategy Consideration of developing a Thames Valley wide partnership to ensure better co-ordination of information across the Thames Valley 		
<p>Taxi Licensing – Follow up from previous year</p> <p>1. That the PCC/Chief Constable be asked to consider looking at Council funding / part-funding a dedicated Police Taxi Licensing Officer specifically to ensure prompt information sharing about incidents, drivers, arrests, charges, convictions – so that Police Licensing becomes the central point for information sharing.</p>	<p>An event was held in May where the Deputy PCC confirmed that the PCC and Chief Constable have agreed the principle that (a) the Force should host a police ‘Taxi Licensing Officer’ to coordinate Force and local authority activities across the Thames Valley, and (b) that the PCC will fund the initial costs of this post for year pending agreement being reached that the local authorities will jointly fund the post thereafter (subject to negotiations)</p> <p>A Local Authority Working Group (this includes 16 members from across the Thames Valley inc Herts has been set up for 13 September to discuss this post, the possibility of standardising policies and whether a regional database is required (as a national register is being produced)</p>	
<p>Cyber Crime – Follow up from previous year</p> <p>To consider whether a Working Group should be set up to look at this area in more detail.</p>	<p>A cyber crime event was held in March and following this event a Thames Valley wide strategy has been circulated for comment (copies will be available at the meeting) to ensure that there is a common approach to cyber crime.</p> <p>Grant funding of up to £75,000) will be made available by the PCC out of his Community Safety Fund to support the development of a Cybercrime strategy and preventative measures.</p>	

<p>Female Genital Mutilation Update on this would be helpful for Preventing CSE Sub Committee in Autumn</p>	<p>The OPCC Strategic Delivery Plan (under policy development) has an action to develop a business case to consider and support development of a Thames Valley FGM strategy. A FGM meeting took place with stakeholders in June and a TV FGM Partnership Group has been proposed including Health, third sector, police, education and the OPCC.</p> <p>Grant funding (up to £75,000) will be made available by the PCC out of his Community Safety Fund to support local projects / organisations providing services which are designed to increase engagement with local communities, provide opportunities for dialogue, engagement and education for key professionals, and to improve reporting and prevention of FGM.</p>	
<p>Preventing CSE Sub Committee Updated at last Panel meeting – although would be helpful to clarify the following:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When will the MASH review take place? • Perpetrator profiling – what was the Chief Constable response to this ? • Has the PCC written to the Dof E on language schools? • Update on Hotel and Night watch 	<p>All six Multi Agency Safeguarding Hubs have been live in Berkshire since September 2016 and each Council has a Strategic and Operational Group with TVP representation from senior PVP staff.</p> <p>Planning for the forthcoming year includes a review of the MASH structure, roles and operating model to provide a consistent, quality service across all nine hubs. A business case for demand modelling has been agreed and the work would be undertaken in the summer. Opportunities to increase efficiencies and resilience particularly regarding the six Berkshire MASH are being developed as the current model is unlikely to be financially sustainable beyond 2019 when the agreement for 4x Berkshire Authorities to contribute £37.5k pa to TVP costs expires. Development of the software for the Bucks repeat missing person strategy is complete with a provisional start date for the pilot in early May 2017.</p> <p>A MASH stocktake was undertaken in summer 2016 which identified</p>	

	<p>seven recommendations for further development. These recommendations were focussed on opportunities for greater efficiency in terms of the delivery model and staff roles.</p> <p>In terms of the other points these will be discussed at the CSE Sub on 8 September following the Panel</p>	
<p>Complaints Sub-Committee For the PCC to comment on whether he has made small amendments to the process in terms of personalisation and IT</p>	<p>The OPCC are currently having a restructure and this will issue will be chased up with the new Governance Officer (the previous Governance Manager has left the organisation).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Responses from the PCC to complainants are now routinely personalised in the name of the PCC (unless there is an appropriate reason not to). · Changes to the Force ICT email systems have been made in respect of the 'PCC@thamesvalley' email address to lift the automated security features that otherwise divert some external mails into 'quarantine'. 	